SINGLE BENCH

CF1662

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**W.P. (S) No. 5749 /2006**

**PETITIONER** : Raja Ram Sahu
S/o. Late Shri Aasha Ram Sahu
Aged about 46 years
Post- Assistant Teacher
R/o. Village –Pahanda,
Tahsil Berla, Distt. Durg
(C.G.)

P.B. No. 5782/06
Presented by Shri Samar Beh
dated 16/11/06

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of Education
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Education Officer,
Durg, District Durg (C.G.)

3. Block Education Officer
Block-Berla
District-Durg(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
16/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
DISTT. CHHATTISGARH

**WRIT PETITION U/A 226/277 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS**

The .....

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 5749 of 2006

Petitioner : Raja Ram Sahu
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

**Single Bench**: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

---

Shri H. S. Patel, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri Utkarsh Verma, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

---

ORDER

(27th October, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that while working as Assistant Teacher, the petitioner had gone on training to improve his qualifications on his own expenses and acquired B. Ed. Examination Certificate prior to 1.3.1999.

2. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed. /B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.98 (Annexure P/7) issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

3. Further in the matter of Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others { 2000 (1) M.P.L.S.R. 136 } the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench, while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein, it is provided that the Assistant Teachers, who have acquired B.Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. & others passed in O.A.No.

24

1632/99, decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher, may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B.Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advance increments.

4. It is pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers who have obtained B.Ed./B.T.I. examination certificate before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Supreme Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired B. Ed. Examination Certificate on his own expenses and further whether he has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur